BEST AVAILABLE COP.

·	PATENT C	OOPERATION TE	REATV
From the			ICAII
INTERNATIONAL SEAR	CHING AUTHORITY		
To:			
,			PCT
	•		101
see form PC	TADA no-		
	TROMIZZO	WRI	TEN OPINION OF THE
		MIERNATIC	THEN OPINION OF THE DNAL SEARCHING AUTHOR
		T dia	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
		Date of mailing	
		(day/month/year) ea	ne form PCTASA210 (second sheat)
Applicant's or agent's file refe	rence		
see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER	ACTION
International application No.	International St.	And house the S perior	w .
PCT/GB2004/002147		date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
International Patent Classificat	ion (IPC) or both national classifi		27.06.2003
	remortal Classifi	cation and IPC	-— <i>———</i> ————
Applicant			_
SEOS LIMITED			
=			
1. This opinion contains	indications relati		: <u></u>
Box No. 1 Basis	s indications relating to the	of following frems:	
M D N	of the opinion		
~			
Box No. IV Lack	establishment of opinion with	regard to novelty, invention	step and industrial applicability
- DONING. V HERS	NOOT other		
applic	ability; citations and explanated documents cited	3bis.1(a)(i) with regard to no	velty, inventive step or industrial
C	. Acceltigues CIGO		ent stop of incustnal
Box No. VII Certain	defects in the international	application	
The Center	observations on the International	tional application	
THE ACTION			
If a demand for internation	nal preliminant		
written opinion of the Inte	nal preliminary examination i mational Preliminary Examinational Preliminary Examinary Examinary Examination (Control of the Control of the C	is made, this opinion will usu	ally be considered to be a sver, this does not apply where
International Bureau unde	mational Preliminary Examir Authority other than this one or Rule 68.16/s(b) that writter	to be the IPEA and the cho	ever, this does not apply where
will not be so considered.	and and wither	opunions of this International	d Searching Authority
submit to the IDEA	led above, considered to be	9 Written I	
months from the date of m	on reply together, where app	ropriate, with amendment	the applicant is invited to
wnichever expires later.	0 111 0 111340220 6	or before the expiration of 22	the applicant is invited to before the expiration of three months from the priority date,
For further options, see Fo	rm PCT/ISA/220.		,
For further details, see not	es to Form potage		
	TOM FOT/RIA/220.		
and mailing address of the ISA			
- Name of the ISA	·	Authorized Officer	
European Patent Office D-80288 Munich	1		-
19L +49 89 2330 - 6 Tu	: 523656 epmu d	Lauri, L	· 100
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 448	5	Telephone No. 140 no co	\ <i>(10) i</i>

Telephone No, +49 89 2399-7304

IAPS ROCAPCTAPTO 22 DEC 2005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002147

Box No. I Basis of the eninter
and opinion
With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and a type of many to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of
necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the international application and a. type of material:
a. type of material:
a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material:
in written format
☐ In computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing:
contained in the international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as
4. Additional comments:

Form PCT//PEA/237 (January 2004)

BEST AVAILABLE COP



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002147

Box	No. I	Priorit	
~~~	110. [	' Priorin	L

- The following document has not been furnished:
  - copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
  - □ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 b/s.1 and 66.7(b)).

Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

- This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
- 3. Additional observations, if necessary:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes. Claims

No:

2-14 1

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims No: 1-14

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

ece separate sheet

BEST AVAILABLE COP

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/002147

### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 6282261 D2: GB2079116

- 2. The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claim 1 is not clear. The wording "image geometry" is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claim unclear. The same applies to the wording "geographical configuration" in claim 11, which is not even mentioned in the description.
- 3. Furthermore, the above-mentioned lack of clarity notwithstanding, the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, and therefore the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT are not met.

  The document D1 discloses an image display apparatus for displaying composite images (abstract), which image display apparatus comprises a primary image source (504), at least one secondary image source (504), first distortion means for distorting the image geometry of the secondary image source (27) such that the image geometry of the secondary image source matches that of the primary image source (col. 23, I. 41-60), combining means for combining images from the primary image source with the distorted images from the secondary image source (col. 23, I. 61-63), second distortion means for distorting the composite images (18).
- 4. Dependent claims 2-14 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see documents D1 and D2 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.
- Claim 15 contains references to the description and the drawings. According to Rule 6.2(a) PCT, claims should not contain such references except where absolutely necessary, which is not the case here.

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/237 (Sheet 1) (EPO-January 2004)